Subject No. 49.

Re-transfer of the Dodballapur Munsiff's Court to Nelamangala or attaching the Nelamangla Taluk to the Bangalore Munsiff's Court.

Messrs. Desakulakarni Dasappa and Channalingappa of Nelamangala.

ummary of discussion.—The transfer of the Nelamangala Munsiff's Court from Nelamangala to Dodballapur has lessened the importance of the place. Pray for the re-transfer of the Court to Nelamangala or the Nelamangala Taluk may be tacked on to Bangalore Munsiff's Court.

Reply of Government.—No alteration in existing arrangements, which were made after due consideration, is at present desirable.

Subject No. 50.

A Sub-Judge's Court at Shimoga.

Mr. Kigga Chennakesava Rao of Koppa Taluk.
" A. Narasimha Iyengar, Pleader, Sagar Taluk.
" S. R. Balakrishna Rao, B. A., LL. B.
" C. Subba Rao, B.A., B.L.

Summary of discussion.—Appeals against the decision of the Yedahalli Munsiff lie to the District Judge at Shimoga. His file being heavy and he having to go out for Sessions work, he transfers Civil appeals to the Sub-Judge of Chikmagalur. This causes inconvenience to suitors. A Sub-Judge's Court with appellate powers may be established at Shimoga for the disposal of such cases. It should also be laid down as a rule that appeals should be disposed of within a year of their being filed.

Reply of Government.—Proposals on the subject have been received from the Chief Court, and are under consideration.

Subject No. 51.

(a) Sub-Registrars to be empowered to try Civil suits up to Rs. 50
(b) Sub-Registrars to exercise Small Cause Jurisdiction up to Rs. 50.

Mr. C. N. Krishnappa, Taluk Member, Hiriyur.

Summary of discussion.—The Government having declined to introduce into Mysore the Village Munsiff system that is in vogue in His Majesty's territories, the Special Sub-Registrars may at least be empowered to try and dispose of Civil suits within a limit of Rs. 50, as it would greatly conduce to the convenience of the poor raiyats.

Reply of Government.—Not possible.

Subject No. 52.

Civil Judges to move out in their respective jurisdictions and hear cases.

Mr. Srinivasa Rao of Mysore Taluk.

Summary of discussion.—It will be a great convenience to parties if Civil Judges are made to move out in their respective Divisions for the disposal of Civil suits.

Withdrawn.

Subject No. 53.

Fees payable to legal practitioners.

Mr. Lingegowda, Mysore District.

Summary of discussion.—It is requested that orders might be issued that legal practitioners should not take more than what is allowed by laws from their clients.

Withdrawn.

Subject No. 54.

Second Grade pleaders to practise in the Sub-Judges' Courts.

Mr. Srinivasa Rao, Chikmagalur Taluk.

Summary of discussion.—Second Grade pleaders are prohibited from practising in the Sub-Judges' Courts. As this causes a great deal of inconvenience, such of the Second Grade Pleaders as were previously practising in the Subordinate Courts may be allowed to resume their practice there.

Reply of Govenment.—This is not practicable, nor is it expedient. The rule of practice cannot be altered for the sake of a few.

Subject No. 55.

Second Grade Pleaders to be permitted to practise in Sub-Courts.

Mr. C. N. Krishnappa, Taluk Member, Hiriyur.

Summary of Discussion.—The Second Grade Pleaders may be permitted to practice in the Subordinate Judges' Courts at Hassan, Chikmagalur and Chitaldrug which are peculiarly constituted unlike the Subordinate Judges' Courts elsewhere and which exercise the powers vested in the Munsiff's Court.

Reply of Government.—Not necessary now.

Subject No. 56.

Arguments by Lawyers in Courts to be in Kanarese.

Mr. Srinivasa Rao of Mysore Taluk.
Mr. Amble Annaiya Pandit.

Summary of Discussion.—It is requested that in Lower Courts arguments in original suits may be ordered to be made in the Kannada language.

Reply of Government.—As a rule the pleadings and arguments in Munsiffs' Courts are in Kanarese. But it is impossible to avoid the English language when a Pleader refers to decided cases or argues upon the interpretation of a Regulation. The existing practice appears to be sufficient.

Subject No. 57.

Partition of houses within the Municipal limits under Civil Court decrees.

Mr. M. Venkatakrishnaiya.
" M. C. Ranga Iyengar.
" Madappa.
" Anantharajaiya of the Mysore City Municipality.

Summary of Discussion.—It is requested that Civil Court decrees for the partition of houses and lands within the Municipal limits may be ordered to be forwarded to the Presidents of the Local Municipalities for execution with power either to partition such property or assign the whole or any portion of it to one of the parties and pay the proportionate value thereof to the other or others.

Reply of Government.—The representation is with special reference to the Mysore City, and is because Civil Courts are stated to make partition irrespective of ventilation. The matter will be referred to the Trust Board for their opinion.

Subject No. 58.

Holding the Manjarabad Assistant Commissioner's Court for Six months at Belur.

Messrs. Anantakesavachar, M. Mallegowad and B. Payanna Setty.

Summary of Discussion.—The Court of the Sub-Division Magistrate is located at Saklespur. It may be caused to be held for six months at Belur and six months at Saklespur.

Reply of Government.—The rules already provide for all divisional Assistant Commissioners touring constantly in their taluks. No special rule for the Assistant Commissioner of Saklespur, in addition to this, is necessary.

Subject No. 59.

Honorary Magistrates.

Messrs. M. Venkatakrishnaiya, M. C. Ranga Iyengar, Madappa and Anantharajaiya of Mysore City Municipality.

Summary of Discussion.—The constitution of a Bench of Honorary Magistrates is proposed for the Mysore City.

Reply of Government.—Will be considered.

Subject No. 60.

Appellate powers against the convictions and sentence passed by Magistrates.

Mr. Nadig Sivappa, Sorab Taluk.

Summary of Discussion.—It is feared that failure of justice may occur by the executive officers such as Deputy Commissioners, Assistant Commissioners exercising appellate powers in criminal cases. In the interests of justice it is solicited that this power of hearing appeals may be delegated to District Judges and Sub-Judges.

Reply of Government.—Not feasible.

Subject No. 61.

Batta to witnesses in Criminal cases.

Messrs. Srinivasa Rao and Rama Rao, (Malur Taluk).
Mr. Seenappa, Shanbhog, (Bangalore District

Summary of Discussion.—There is no sort of remuneration whatever to witnesses coming in criminal cases. The witnesses have to travel a long distance, not to speak of the expenses involved, whenever cases are adjourned. On failure of attendance, warrants are issued. It is prayed that witness batta may be allowed to the people as is done in Civil Courts.